## Strengthening New Zealand's disaster risk governance

## E Longworth 19 June 2017 Motu Public Policy Seminar

The Sendai Framework (United Nations 2015 agreement on disaster risk reduction and resilience) sets four priorities, the first two of which pose significant challenges for most countries:

Priority 1: Understanding disaster risk;

Priority 2: Strengthening risk governance to manage disaster risk. The following summary points form a recommendation for New Zealand:

- Create a national vision that recognises
  - disaster risk as a systemic and critical issue of potential loss
  - the opportunities and co-benefits of a prevention focus
- ▶ Underpin the vision with principles of participation, transparency and accountability
- Develop a policy framework, with policy objectives and recommendations (covering concepts, legislation, roles, reporting, financing, leadership, stakeholders, and information) to serve as a guidance tool and integrator [refer to Dr Reid Basher draft proposal]
- Mainstream and integrate DRR across all sectors
- Foster multi-hazard and multi-sector understanding and practice
- ▶ Develop a robust, coherent national strategy that interfaces with local strategies/plans
- Ensure coherence across and address gaps in national and local legislative and policy frameworks - "give force to comprehensive DRR" (Basher)
- Strengthen institutional frameworks at national and local levels, including compliance mechanisms
- Establish national loss database (see Japanese model)
  - establish formal data collection programme
  - support standards, technical tools, risk analysis services
- Embed risk assessment for risk-informed decision-making, across public and private sectors (especially for planning, development and investment)

- Implement a whole-of-goverment approach
  - place emphasis on planning, development, and investment mandates
  - define roles and responsibilities
  - formalise upgraded mechanisms for coordination across government
- Make DRR visible in government give it a brand and a face
  - a Chief Risk Officer model?
  - a national independent authority?
- Design a systematic monitoring and reporting, including to Parliament that
  - meets international requirements (indicators)
  - includes a formal annual report on national risk status
  - incorporates an oversight mechanism
  - institutionalises debate on progress
- Make risk information widely available and understandable
  - foster public scrutiny and engagement
  - improve public websites
  - publish risk assessment results
  - encourage community education and awareness
  - provide media training
- Establish country-wide coordination mechanisms
  - create national, regional, local and special interest platforms
  - empower platforms by law to coordinate and report
- ▶ Ensure multi-stakeholder effort; establish multi-party national platform; comprising
  - business as full partner
  - ministries
  - Iocal authorities
  - community
  - science
- Finance DRR through a coordinated and supported national portfolio of funding mechanisms
- Set NZ-inc targets. (For example, China suffers 1/3 world earthquakes, and has target of annual losses not exceeding 1.3% of GDP)
- Most importantly, expect proactive leadership in government with high level visible champion(s)

[Sources: Priorities 1 and 2 of Sendai Framework; UNISDR; Dr Reid Basher; E Longworth]